

Croydon Council

For general release

REPORT TO:	TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE 12 JULY 2018
SUBJECT:	LANSDOWNE ROAD AREA – RESULTS OF INFORMAL CONSULTATION ON THE POSSIBLE EXTENSION OF THE PURLEY CPZ
LEAD OFFICER:	Shifa Mustafa, Executive Director of Planning and Environment
CABINET MEMBER:	Councillor Stuart King, Acting Cabinet Member for Environment, Transport and Regeneration (Job Share)
WARDS:	Purley & Woodcote
CORPORATE PRIORITY/POLICY CONTEXT: This report is in accordance with objectives to improve the safety and reduce obstructive parking on the Borough's roads as detailed in: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Croydon Plan Feb 2018; Transport Chapter.• The Local Implementation Plan; 3.6 Croydon Transport policies• Croydon's Community Strategy; Priority Areas 1, 3, 4 and 6• Croydon Corporate Plan 2013 – 18• www.croydonobservatory.org/strategies/	
FINANCIAL IMPACT: There are no proposed changes therefore no financial implications.	
FORWARD PLAN KEY DECISION REFERENCE NO.: n/a	
1. RECOMMENDATIONS That the Traffic Management Advisory Committee recommend to the Cabinet Member for Environment, Transport and Regeneration that the Cabinet Member: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1.1 Consider the responses received to the informal consultation on the proposal to extend the Purley Controlled Parking Zone into the Lansdowne Road area.1.2 Agree not to proceed to the formal consultation stage regarding the proposal to extend the Purley Controlled Parking Zone into Lansdowne Road and Sydney Avenue as illustrated on Drawing No. PD 368.1.3 Inform the organisers of the petitions of these decisions.	

2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 2.1 This report considers the results of the informal consultation on the proposal to extend the Purley Controlled Parking Zone into the Lansdowne Road Area which includes the currently unrestricted roads Lansdowne Road and Sydney Avenue.
- 2.2 It is recommended that the Council do not proceed to the formal consultation stage with the proposal.

3 DETAIL

- 3.1 A petition had been received from residents in Lansdowne Road and Sydney Avenue for parking controls to be introduced in these streets, where demand for spaces is outstripping supply.
- 3.2 The informal consultation commenced on Tuesday, 15 May 2018 and continued until Wednesday, 13 June 2018. 247 sets of consultation packs, which comprised of a letter, a drawing, and a questionnaire were sent to addresses within the proposed extension area. Included in each pack was a pre-paid envelope for return of the questionnaire.
- 3.3 Consultees were requested to register their “Yes/No” preference votes to the question “Are you in favour of extending the Purley CPZ into Lansdowne Road and Sydney Avenue?” Questionnaires were to be returned via the pre-paid envelope provided.

4 INFORMAL CONSULTATION

- 4.1 Over the course of the informal consultation a total of 110 completed questionnaires were returned, representing a 45% response rate which is considered good for an informal consultation exercise of this type. Table 1 shows the results and returns for the individual roads in the consultation area.

4.2 TABLE 1 – Results of the Questionnaire

Road Name	Number of Consultees	Number of Responses Received	% Returned	Number of Responses in Favour of parking controls	% of responses in favour
11 Brighton Rd	29	11	38	7	64
Lansdowne Rd	212	97	46	20	21
Sydney Ave	6	2	33	0	0
Totals	247	110	45%	27	25%

- 4.3 The results show that the majority of those within the consultation who responded to the informal consultation are **not** in favour of extending the Purley CPZ to the area.
- 4.4 Appendix A includes a summary of the comments that were received on the questionnaire sheets.
- 4.5 The questionnaire responses are considered to demonstrate that there is no current need for parking controls in Lansdowne Road and Sydney Avenue.

5 FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 5.1 It is proposed not to introduce parking controls in Lansdowne Road and Sydney Road therefore there are no financial considerations arising from this report.
- 5.2 Approved by Felicia Wright, Head of Finance – Place

6. COMMENTS OF COUNCIL SOLICITOR AND MONITORING OFFICER

- 6.1 The Solicitor to the Council comments that Section 6, 124 and Part IV of Schedule 9 to the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (as amended) provides powers to introduce, implement and revoke Traffic Management Orders. In exercising this power, section 122 of the Act imposes a duty on the Council to have regard (so far as practicable) to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians) and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on and off the highway. The Council must also have regard to such matters as the effect on the amenities of any locality affected.
- 6.2 Approved by Sandra Herbert, Head of Litigation and Corporate law for and on behalf of Jacqueline Harris-Baker, Director of Law and Monitoring Officer.

7. HUMAN RESOURCES IMPACT

- 7.1 It is proposed to retain the unrestricted parking arrangements in these two roads and therefore there are no human resource implications arising from this report.
- 7.2 Approved by: Sue Moorman, Director of Human Resources.

8. CUSTOMER, EQUALITIES, ENVIRONMENTAL, CRIME AND DISORDER REDUCTION IMPACTS

- 8.1 There are no such implications arising from this report.

9. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 The recommendation is to do nothing, since the majority of residents have stated they are happy with the existing parking arrangements in their road.

10. OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

10.1 The alternative options would be to introduce the parking controls in these roads but this would not accord with the expressed preference of the majority of those who responded to the informal consultation.

REPORT AUTHOR

Teresa O'Regan, Traffic Engineer, Parking Design, Highway Improvements 020 8726 6000 Ext. 88260

CONTACT OFFICER:

David Wakeling, Parking Design Manager
Parking Design, Highway Improvements,
Streets, 020 8726 6000 Ext. 88229

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

None